

'06

SECOND SESSION 109TH CONGRESS

NATIONAL environmental SCORECARD



LCV BOARD OF DIRECTORS *

John H. Adams, Secretary
Founding Director,
Natural Resources Defense Council

Marcia Aronoff
Vice President for Programs,
Environmental Defense

Brent Blackwelder (Honorary)
President, Friends of the Earth

Brownie Carson
Executive Director,
Natural Resources Council of Maine

Wade Greene (Honorary)
Philanthropy Advisor,
Rockefeller Family and Associates

George Frampton
Partner, Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP

Jay Harris
Changing Horizons Fund

Rampa R. Hormel
President,
Global Environment Project Institute

John Hunting (Honorary)
Chair, Beldon Fund

Tom Kiernan, Treasurer
President,
National Parks Conservation Association

Martha Marks
President, Republicans for
Environmental Protection

William H. Meadows III
President, The Wilderness Society

Jorge Mursuli
Vice President and Florida Director,
People For the American Way and
People For the American Way Foundation

Scott A. Nathan
Managing Director,
The Baupost Group, LLP

John D. Podesta
President and CEO,
Center for American Progress

Lana Pollack
President,
Michigan Environmental Council

Bill Roberts, Chair
Executive Director, Beldon Fund

Larry Rockefeller
President,
American Conservation Association

**Theodore Roosevelt IV,
(Honorary Chair)**
Managing Director, Lehman Brothers

Donald K. Ross
Rockefeller Family & Associates

Rodger O. Schlickeisen, Vice-Chair
President, Defenders of Wildlife

Peggy Shepard
Executive Director,
West Harlem Environmental Action
(WE ACT)

Lynde B. Uihlein
President,
Brico Fund L.L.C.

Ed Zuckerman
Executive Director,
Federation of State Conservation
Voter Leagues

LCV POLITICAL COMMITTEE *

William Meadows, Chair
The Wilderness Society

Steve Cochran
Environmental Defense

Steve Moyer
Trout Unlimited

Rodger Schlickeisen
Defenders of Wildlife

Debbie Sease
Sierra Club

LCV POLITICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE *

Anna Aurilio
U.S. Public Interest Research Group

Dan Becker
Sierra Club

David Conrad
National Wildlife Federation

Lee Crockett
Marine Fish Conservation Network

Kevin Curtis
National Environmental Trust

Mike Daulton
National Audubon Society

Robert Dewey
Defenders of Wildlife

Blake Early
American Lung Association

Marty Hayden
Earthjustice Legal Defense Fund

David Jenkins
Republicans for Environmental Protection

Linda Lance
The Wilderness Society

Craig Lasher
Population Action International

Craig Obey
National Parks Conservation Association

Nancy Perry
The Humane Society of the United States

Cindy Shogan
Alaska Wilderness League

Randy Snodgrass
World Wildlife Fund

Elizabeth Thompson
Environmental Defense

Karen Wayland
Natural Resources Defense Council

Marchant Wentworth
Union of Concerned Scientists

Sara Zdeb
Friends of the Earth

* Organizations are shown for identification purposes only

CONTENTS

1. ANALYSIS

Overview of the 2nd Session of the 109th Congress	2
---	---

Voting Summary	3
----------------	---

2. SENATE SCORES

Vote Descriptions	8
-------------------	---

Senate Votes	10
--------------	----

3. HOUSE SCORES

Vote Descriptions	16
-------------------	----

House Votes	20
-------------	----

4. INDEX

Members of the 2nd Session of the 109th Congress	37
--	----

LCV Membership Information	40
----------------------------	----

The nonprofit League of Conservation Voters (LCV) has published a *National Environmental Scorecard* every Congress since 1970, the year it was founded by leaders of the environmental movement following the first Earth Day.

As the independent political voice for the environment, LCV advocates for sound environmental policies and works to elect pro-environment candidates who help implement such policies.

This edition of the *National Environmental Scorecard* provides objective, factual information about the most important environmental legislation considered and the corresponding voting records of all Members of the second session of the 109th Congress. This *Scorecard* represents the consensus of experts from more than 20 respected environmental and conservation organizations who selected the key votes on which Members of Congress should be graded. LCV scores votes on the most important issues of the year, including energy, environmental health and safety protections, resource conservation, and spending for environmental programs. The votes included in this *Scorecard* presented Members of Congress with a real choice on protecting the environment and help distinguish which legislators are working for environmental protection. Except in rare circumstances, the *Scorecard* excludes consensus action on the environment and issues on which no recorded votes occurred.

Dedicated environmentalists and national leaders volunteered their time to identify and research crucial votes. We extend special thanks to our Board of Directors, Political Committee, and Political Advisory Committee for their valuable input.

Edited by Louis Bayard, Barbara Gonzalez-McIntosh, Gene Karpinski, Kristin Lee, Tony Massaro, Nat Mund, Chuck Porcari and Tiernan Sittenfeld. Design by Sarah McPhie, Cutting Edge Design. Published October 2006 by the League of Conservation Voters. All rights reserved. For additional copies or information about joining LCV, please contact us at: 1920 L Street NW, Suite 800, Washington, DC 20036. Phone: (202) 785-8683; Fax: (202) 835-0491; Email: lcv@lcv.org. Full *Scorecard* information is also available online at www.lcv.org/scorecard.

When it comes to the environment and energy, 2006 will be remembered for sky-rocketing gas prices, record-high oil company profits, an acknowledgement by even President Bush that America is addicted to oil, the Alaska BP pipeline spill, and a widespread recognition that human activity is causing global warming to happen far more quickly than previously thought. Unfortunately, as the League of Conservation Voters 2006 *National Environmental Scorecard* reflects, Congress did virtually nothing to help solve these problems, with little hope for anything positive to emerge from an anticipated lame duck session.

America has the ingenuity and technological know-how to create a new energy future that protects the environment, reinvigorates the economy, reduces our dependence on oil, provides relief to consumers, and strengthens our national security. Yet instead of moving our nation toward a smarter, cleaner, safer, and more affordable energy future, Congress has continued to pursue the same failed policies of the past that benefit Big Oil at the expense of the environment and public health.

As we wrote in the 2005 *Scorecard*, the 109th Congress got off to a particularly bad start with the passage of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, the most anti-environmental piece of legislation signed into law in recent memory. Although this new law has clearly done nothing to solve our energy problems, Congress chose to stay the ill-advised course in 2006. In fact, the Congressional leadership simply pushed for drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge and off our coasts and didn't even allow debate on forward-looking solutions to our energy problems, such as making cars go further on a gallon of gas by increasing fuel economy standards, or increasing our use of clean, renewable energy.

In an otherwise dismal year on the energy front, the House passed an amendment to limit royalty relief to oil companies, and both chambers passed an amendment to increase funding for the Low-Income Heating and Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP), which will assist low-income families in insulating and weatherizing their homes.

Although there was a disappointing lack of vision and leadership on energy issues in 2006, the good news lies in several environmental successes. Most significant was an unprecedented win on five out of five pro-environment amendments to the House Interior-Environment Appropriations bill to: protect wetlands and other waterways around the country, defend the public's right to know about the toxic chemicals

we are exposed to, stop taxpayer subsidies for logging roads in Alaska's Tongass Rainforest, protect our coasts from off-shore drilling, and limit royalty relief to the oil and gas industry. All five floor amendments had broad, bipartisan support, with between 37 and 68 Republicans and 157 and 184 Democrats voting pro-environment on the respective amendments. As the successful results demonstrate, environmental issues clearly resonated with both the public and Members of Congress in 2006.

As of September 22, the 2006 *National Environmental Scorecard* includes seven Senate votes and twelve House votes on a range of issues, including energy, biodiversity, public health, environmental funding, and Army Corps of Engineers reform. LCV may update the 2006 *Scorecard* at the end of the 109th Congress to include priority environmental and public health votes that occurred too late for inclusion in this document, such as the House vote on "takings" legislation (update available at www.lcv.org/scorecard).

As we approach the end of 2006 and the conclusion of the 109th Congress, it's more clear than ever before that it's time for a change when it comes to energy, the environment, and public health. The good news is that America possesses the expertise and can-do spirit to bring about that change. Cities and states across the country are already leading the way, enacting forward-looking energy solutions that focus on efficiency, renewable energy, and conservation. These efforts are already resulting in cleaner air, a reduction in global warming emissions, less dependence on oil, more jobs, and many other benefits. As we look to the federal government in the 110th Congress and beyond, LCV will call on elected officials in our nation's capital to follow the lead of states and localities across the country by creating a clean energy future that protects our environment and our economy.


VOTING SUMMARY

2006 STATE AVERAGES


STATE	SENATE	HOUSE	STATE	SENATE	HOUSE
Alabama	0	20	Montana	43	0
Alaska	14	0	Nebraska	29	14
Arizona	29	26	Nevada	50	36
Arkansas	43	38	New Hampshire	43	75
California	100	62	New Jersey	93	85
Colorado	50	40	New Mexico	57	39
Connecticut	86	78	New York	71	78
Delaware	86	83	North Carolina	0	45
Florida	29	39	North Dakota	43	67
Georgia	0	32	Ohio	57	38
Hawaii	86	88	Oklahoma	15	5
Idaho	0	4	Oregon	57	77
Illinois	100	54	Pennsylvania	14	47
Indiana	57	22	Rhode Island	86	67
Iowa	43	20	South Carolina	22	42
Kansas	14	25	South Dakota	43	50
Kentucky	0	27	Tennessee	22	39
Louisiana	22	9	Texas	0	22
Maine	79	88	Utah	14	19
Maryland	100	89	Vermont	100	100
Massachusetts	86	99	Virginia	7	37
Michigan	64	52	Washington	93	68
Minnesota	58	50	West Virginia	64	61
Mississippi	7	27	Wisconsin	86	67
Missouri	14	39	Wyoming	0	0

2006 MAPS OF AVERAGES

SENATE



HOUSE



2006 SENATE HIGH AND LOW SCORES

Highest Senate Delegations:

California 100% · Illinois 100% · Maryland 100% · Vermont 100% · New Jersey 93% · Washington 93%

Highest Senate Scores:

California Boxer 100% · Feinstein 100% **Connecticut** Dodd 100% **Delaware** Biden 100% **Hawaii** Akaka 100% **Illinois** Durbin 100% · Obama 100% **Indiana** Bayh 100% **Maryland** Mikulski 100% · Sarbanes 100% **Massachusetts** Kennedy 100% **New Jersey** Menendez 100% **New Mexico** Bingaman 100% **Oregon** Wyden 100% **Rhode Island** Reed 100% **Vermont** Jeffords 100% · Leahy 100% **Washington** Cantwell 100% **Wisconsin** Feingold 100%

Lowest Senate Delegations:

Alabama 0% · Georgia 0% · Idaho 0% · Kentucky 0% · North Carolina 0% · Texas 0% · Wyoming 0%

Lowest Senate Scores:

Alabama Sessions 0% · Shelby 0% **Florida** Martinez 0% **Georgia** Chambliss 0% · Isakson 0% **Idaho** Craig 0% · Crapo 0% **Kansas** Roberts 0% **Kentucky** Bunning 0% · McConnell 0% **Louisiana** Vitter 0% **Mississippi** Lott 0% **North Carolina** Burr 0% · Dole 0% **Oklahoma** Inhofe 0% **Texas** Cornyn 0% · Hutchison 0% **Virginia** Allen 0% **Wyoming** Enzi 0% · Thomas 0%

2006 HOUSE HIGH AND LOW SCORES

Highest House Delegations:

Vermont 100% · Massachusetts 99% · Maryland 89% · Hawaii 88% · Maine 88%

Highest House Scores:

Arizona Grijalva 100% **California** Becerra 100% · Berman 100% · Capps 100% · Eshoo 100% · Farr 100% · Harman 100% · Honda 100% · Lee 100% · Matsui 100% · George Miller 100% · Napolitano 100% · Pelosi 100% · Roybal-Allard 100% · Linda Sanchez 100% · Loretta Sanchez 100% · Schiff 100% · Sherman 100% · Solis 100% · Stark 100% · Tauscher 100% · Waters 100% · Watson 100% · Waxman 100% · Woolsey 100% **Colorado** DeGette 100% · Udall 100% **Connecticut** DeLauro 100% **Florida** Davis 100% · Wasserman Schultz 100% · Wexler 100% **Georgia** Lewis 100% · McKinney 100% **Hawaii** Case 100% **Illinois** Gutierrez 100% · Schakowsky 100% **Indiana** Carson 100% **Maine** Allen 100% **Maryland** Cardin 100% · Cummings 100% · Hoyer 100% · Van Hollen 100% **Massachusetts** Capuano 100% · Frank 100% · Lynch 100% · Markey 100% · McGovern 100% · Meehan 100% · Neal 100% · Olver 100% · Tierney 100% **Michigan** Conyers 100% · Dingell 100% · Kildee 100% · Kilpatrick 100% · Levin 100% **Minnesota** McCollum 100% **Missouri** Carnahan 100% **Nevada** Berkley 100% **New Jersey** Andrews 100% · Holt 100% · Pallone 100% · Pascrell 100% · Rothman 100% **New Mexico** Udall 100% **New York** Engel 100% · Hinchey 100% · Lowey 100% · McCarthy 100% · McNulty 100% · Nadler 100% · Owens 100% · Rangel 100% · Serrano 100% · Weiner 100% **Ohio** Brown 100% · Kaptur 100% · Kucinich 100% **Oregon** Wu 100% **Pennsylvania** Fattah 100% · Schwartz 100% **Rhode Island** Langevin 100% **South Carolina** Clyburn 100% **Tennessee** Cooper 100% **Texas** Doggett 100% **Vermont** Sanders 100% **Virginia** Scott 100% **Washington** Inslee 100% · McDermott 100% · Smith 100% **Wisconsin** Baldwin 100% · Moore 100% · Obey 100%

Lowest House Delegations:

Alaska 0% · Montana 0% · Wyoming 0% · Idaho 4% · Oklahoma 5%

Lowest House Scores:

Alabama Aderholt 0% **Alaska** Young 0% **Arizona** Franks 0% · Hayworth 0% · Kolbe 0% · Shadegg 0% **California** Bilbray 0% · Doolittle 0% · Herger 0% · Hunter 0% · Issa 0% · Lewis 0% · Gary Miller 0% · Nunes 0% · Radanovich 0% · Thomas 0% **Colorado** Hefley 0% **Florida** Mica 0% · Weldon 0% **Georgia** Deal 0% · Gingrey 0% · Linder 0% · Norwood 0% · Westmoreland 0% **Idaho** Simpson 0% **Illinois** Manzullo 0% · Shimkus 0% **Indiana** Burton 0% · Buyer 0% · Chocoma 0% · Hostettler 0% · Pence 0% · Souder 0% **Iowa** King 0% · Latham 0% **Kansas** Ryan 0% · Tiahrt 0% **Kentucky** Northrup 0% **Louisiana** Alexander 0% · Baker 0% · Boustany 0% · McCrery 0% **Michigan** Hoekstra 0% · Knollenberg 0% **Minnesota** Gutknecht 0% **Mississippi** Wicker 0% **Missouri** Blunt 0% **Montana** Rehberg 0% **Nevada** Gibbons 0% **New Mexico** Pearce 0% **North Carolina** McHenry 0% · Myrick 0% **Ohio** Boehner 0% · Hobson 0% · Oxley 0% **Oklahoma** Boren 0% · Istook 0% · Lucas 0% **Pennsylvania** Peterson 0% **South Carolina** Wilson 0% **Tennessee** Blackburn 0% · Wamp 0% **Texas** Bonilla 0% · Carter 0% · Conaway 0% · Gohmert 0% · Granger 0% · Hall 0% · Johnson 0% · Neugebauer 0% · Sessions 0% · Thornberry 0% **Utah** Bishop 0% · Cannon 0% **Virginia** Goode 0% · Goodlatte 0% **Washington** Hastings 0% · McMorris 0% **Wyoming** Cubin 0%

RATING THE LEADERSHIP OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITTEES

SENATE

COMMITTEE	CHAIR	SCORE	RANKING MEMBER	SCORE
Agriculture, Nutrition and Forestry	Chambliss (R-GA)	0	Harkin (D-IA)	71
Appropriations	Cochran (R-MS)	14	Byrd (D-WV)	57
Commerce, Science and Transportation	Stevens (R-AK)	14	Inouye (D-HI)	71
Energy and Natural Resources	Domenici (R-NM)	14	Bingaman (D-NM)	100
Environment and Public Works	Inhofe (R-OK)	0	Jeffords (I-VT)	100
SENATE COMMITTEE LEADER AVERAGE	CHAIRS	8	RANKING MEMBERS	80

HOUSE

COMMITTEE	CHAIRMAN	SCORE	RANKING MEMBER	SCORE
Agriculture	Goodlatte (VA-06)	0	Peterson, C. (MN-07)	17
Appropriations	Lewis, Jerry (CA-41)	0	Obey (WI-7)	100
Energy and Commerce	Barton (TX-06)	8	Dingell (MI-15)	100
Resources	Pombo (CA-11)	17	Rahall (WV-3)	92
Transportation and Infrastructure	Young, D. (AK-AL)	0	Oberstar (MN-8)	67
HOUSE COMMITTEE LEADER AVERAGE	CHAIRS	5	RANKING MEMBERS	75

PARTY LEADERS' SCORES

SENATE

REPUBLICANS

Frist (TN), Majority Leader	14
McConnell (KY), Majority Whip	0
Santorum (PA), Conference Chair	14
Leadership average	9

DEMOCRATS

Reid (NV), Minority Leader	57
Durbin (IL), Minority Whip	100
Stabenow (MI), Conference Secretary	71
Leadership average	76

HOUSE

REPUBLICANS

Hastert* (IL-14), Speaker of the House	NA
Boehner (OH-8), Majority Leader	0
Blunt (MO-7), Majority Leader & Whip	0
Pryce (OH-15), Conference Chair	25
Leadership average	8

* The Speaker of the House votes at his discretion.

DEMOCRATS

Pelosi (CA-8), Minority Leader	100
Hoyer (MD-5), Minority Whip	100
Clyburn (SC-6), Caucus Chair	100
Leadership average	100



2006 SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS



1 & 2. OFFSHORE DRILLING

Like most of America's coasts, Florida's Gulf of Mexico coastline has been protected by the 25-year bipartisan congressional moratorium on new offshore oil and gas drilling. In 1991, President George H.W. Bush instituted a separate set of protections on new coastal drilling near the Florida Keys, which President Bill Clinton extended through 2012 for most of Florida's Gulf Coast.

S. 3711, the Gulf of Mexico Energy Security Act, ended protections for Florida's Gulf Coast and opened up 8 million acres off the coasts of Florida, Alabama, Mississippi and Louisiana for oil and gas drilling. And, for the first time ever, 37 percent of the revenue from drilling would go to Gulf Coast states rather than the federal treasury — a precedent that could encourage more states to support drilling off their coasts and cost federal taxpayers billions.

Although many senators have introduced bills that would truly address our nation's energy problems, such as raising fuel efficiency standards for cars and trucks, Senate leaders ignored clean-energy solutions and brought S. 3711 up for a vote on the Senate floor. Opponents of the bill mounted a filibuster and urged that the Senate consider faster, cheaper, and cleaner energy proposals, but on July 31, 2006, the Senate voted 72-23 to end debate on the bill (Senate roll call vote 218). NO is the pro-environment vote. The next day, the Senate approved the bill by a 71-25 vote (Senate roll call vote 219). NO is the pro-environment vote. At press time, the bill had not been reconciled with the House's more sweeping drilling legislation.



3. ARCTIC NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

The protection of Alaska's Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is among the highest priorities for the national environmental community. Nowhere else on our continent is the complete range of arctic and sub-arctic landscapes protected in one unbroken chain, and no other conservation area in the United States has such abundant and diverse wildlife, including rare musk oxen, polar bears, grizzlies, wolves, millions of migratory birds, and more than 120,000 caribou.

Nevertheless, the Bush administration made drilling in the Arctic Refuge a cornerstone of its national energy strategy. Stalled in the Senate by filibusters, the administration and its allies once again attempted to circumvent normal legislative procedures by smuggling Arctic drilling provisions into budget bills, which have special rules and are immune to filibusters.

The Senate's 2007 budget resolution included a provision counting revenues from Arctic drilling as part of the budget. On March 16, 2006 the Senate passed the resolution by a 51-49 vote (Senate roll call vote 74). NO is the pro-environment vote. Fortunately, pro-conservation House Republicans again stood with Democrats to ensure that Arctic drilling was not included in the House budget resolution. The two bills were never reconciled in conference, so the Arctic Refuge remains protected.

4. LOW-INCOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE

Over the coming winter, residential customers are expected to pay 43 percent more for natural gas than they did the previous winter. This will be a particular blow for low-income families as they struggle to balance their energy needs with food, shelter and other basic concerns.

During consideration of S. Con. Res. 83, the Senate budget resolution, Senate leaders stripped language providing an additional \$1 billion in energy assistance. In addition to easing the burden of fuel costs, these funds would have helped low-income families insulate and weatherize their homes, making them less vulnerable in the future to high energy prices.

Senator Olympia Snowe (R-ME) introduced an amendment to restore the funding. On March 7, 2006, Senate Amendment 2913 was approved by a vote of 68-31 (Senate roll call vote 34) . YES is the pro-environment vote.

5. ENVIRONMENTAL FUNDING

Robust funding for environment and natural resources stewardship is critical to keeping air and water clean, protecting public lands, and safeguarding fish and wildlife. Unfortunately, the fiscal year 2007 budgets proposed by the Bush administration and endorsed by congressional leaders made substantial cuts to environmental programs.

S. Con. Res. 83, the Senate budget resolution, cuts funding for the environment by more than \$3 billion, or 10 percent below 2006 levels. Over the next five years, the resolution would cut environmental funding by \$19 billion. Among the hardest hit programs are the Land and Water Conservation Fund, as well as programs for conserving public lands and wildlife, oceans, coasts, water and farmland.

Senators Paul Sarbanes (D-MD), Joe Lieberman (D-CT) and Jack Reed (D-RI) offered an amendment to the budget resolution that would have restored \$2.9 billion in funding for the environment and natural resources. On March 16, 2006, Senate Amendment 3103 was rejected by a 48-49 vote (Senate roll call vote 60). YES is the pro-environment vote.

6 & 7. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS PROJECTS REFORM

A decade of independent studies has documented significant flaws in U.S. Army Corps of Engineers projects, and the recent levee failures in New Orleans have confirmed the need for a reliable independent review process to oversee Corps analyses, which in the past have been manipulated to favor large-scale projects that harm the environment.

During consideration of S. 728, the Water Resources Development Act, Senators Russ Feingold (D-WI), John McCain (R-AZ), Thomas Carper (D-DE), Joseph Lieberman (D-CT), Jim Jeffords (I-VT), Susan Collins (R-ME) and Olympia Snowe (R-ME) offered an amendment to ensure independent peer review of costly, controversial, or environmentally critical projects. On July 19, 2006, Senate Amendment 4681 was approved by a vote of 54-46 (Senate roll call vote 208). YES is the pro-environment vote.

In sharp contrast, Senators James Inhofe (R-OK) and Christopher Bond (R-MO) introduced an amendment that would have left it up to the Corps to initiate peer review of their own projects and would have failed to ensure the independence of review panels. On July 19, 2006, Senate Amendment 4682 was rejected by a vote of 49-51 (Senate roll call vote 209). NO is the pro-environment vote.



SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

KEY			LCV SCORES										
			109th Congress			Lifetime							
				% 2006	% 2005								
			%	%	%	1 Offshore Drilling—Cloture	2 Offshore Drilling—Final Passage	3 Arctic Refuge Drilling	4 Energy & Weatherization Assistance	5 Environmental Funding	6 Independent Peer Review	7 Sham Peer Review	
ALABAMA													
Sessions, J.	(R)	4	0	5	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
Shelby	(R)	4	0	5	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
ALASKA													
Murkowski	(R)	11	14	10	10	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
Stevens	(R)	7	14	5	13	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
ARIZONA													
Kyl	(R)	11	29	5	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	
McCain	(R)	41	29	45	26	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	
ARKANSAS													
Lincoln	(D)	59	43	65	52	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	
Pryor	(D)	56	43	60	45	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	
CALIFORNIA													
Boxer	(D)	93	100	90	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Feinstein	(D)	93	100	90	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
COLORADO													
Allard	(R)	11	29	5	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	
Salazar, K.	(D)	78	71	80	78	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
CONNECTICUT													
Dodd	(D)	93	100	90	77	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Lieberman	(D)	70	71	70	86	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
DELAWARE													
Biden	(D)	93	100	90	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Carper	(D)	78	71	80	75	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
FLORIDA													
Martinez	(R)	7	0	10	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
Nelson, Bill	(D)	81	57	90	59	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES										
		% 109th Congress	% 2006	% 2005	% Lifetime	① Offshore Drilling—Cloture	② Offshore Drilling—Final Passage	③ Arctic Refuge Drilling	④ Energy & Weatherization Assistance	⑤ Environmental Funding	⑥ Independent Peer Review	⑦ Sham Peer Review
GEORGIA												
Chambliss	(R)	0	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Isakson	(R)	4	0	5	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
HAWAII												
Akaka	(D)	81	100	75	67	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Inouye	(D)	67	71	65	52	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
IDAHO												
Craig	(R)	4	0	5	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
Crapo	(R)	4	0	5	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
ILLINOIS												
Durbin	(D)	96	100	95	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Obama	(D)	96	100	95	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
INDIANA												
Bayh	(D)	89	100	85	76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Lugar	(R)	19	14	20	25	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
IOWA												
Grassley	(R)	11	14	10	22	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
Harkin	(D)	89	71	95	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
KANSAS												
Brownback	(R)	15	29	10	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
Roberts	(R)	0	0	0	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
KENTUCKY												
Bunning	(R)	0	0	0	7	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
McConnell	(R)	0	0	0	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
LOUISIANA												
Landrieu	(D)	48	43	50	42	✗	✗	✗	✓	?	✓	✓
Vitter	(R)	7	0	10	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

2. SENATE SCORES

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES										
		% 109th Congress	% 2006	% 2005	% Lifetime	① Offshore Drilling—Cloture	② Offshore Drilling—Final Passage	③ Arctic Refuge Drilling	④ Energy & Weatherization Assistance	⑤ Environmental Funding	⑥ Independent Peer Review	⑦ Sham Peer Review
NEVADA												
Ensign	(R)	26	43	20	29	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓
Reid, H.	(D)	89	57	100	75	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
NEW HAMPSHIRE												
Gregg	(R)	33	43	30	44	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
Sununu	(R)	41	43	40	33	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
NEW JERSEY												
Lautenberg	(D)	96	86	100	96	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Menendez	(D)	100	100	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NEW MEXICO												
Bingaman	(D)	78	100	70	68	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Domenici	(R)	7	14	5	13	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
NEW YORK												
Clinton	(D)	89	71	95	90	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Schumer	(D)	93	71	100	89	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NORTH CAROLINA												
Burr	(R)	4	0	5	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Dole	(R)	0	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
NORTH DAKOTA												
Conrad	(D)	56	43	60	53	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
Dorgan	(D)	59	43	65	61	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
OHIO												
DeWine	(R)	52	71	45	32	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Voinovich	(R)	22	43	15	11	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
OKLAHOMA												
Coburn	(R)	11	29	5	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
Inhofe	(R)	0	0	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

2. SENATE SCORES

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES											
		% 109th Congress			% Lifetime	① Offshore Drilling—Cloture	② Offshore Drilling—Final Passage	③ Arctic Refuge Drilling	④ Energy & Weatherization Assistance	⑤ Environmental Funding	⑥ Independent Peer Review	⑦ Sham Peer Review	
			% 2006	% 2005									
KEY													
✓ = Pro-environment action													
✗ = Anti-environment action													
① = Ineligible to vote													
? = Absence (counts as negative)													
OREGON													
Smith, G.	(R)	37	14	45	26	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
Wyden	(D)	96	100	95	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
PENNSYLVANIA													
Santorum	(R)	11	14	10	10	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
Specter	(R)	33	14	40	44	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
RHODE ISLAND													
Chafee	(R)	85	71	90	78	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Reed, J.	(D)	100	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
SOUTH CAROLINA													
DeMint	(R)	7	14	5	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	
Graham	(R)	22	29	20	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	
SOUTH DAKOTA													
Johnson, Tim	(D)	78	71	80	69	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Thune	(R)	15	14	15	13	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
TENNESSEE													
Alexander, L.	(R)	19	29	15	12	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	
Frist	(R)	7	14	5	7	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
TEXAS													
Cornyn	(R)	0	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
Hutchison	(R)	4	0	5	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
UTAH													
Bennett	(R)	7	14	5	4	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
Hatch	(R)	11	14	10	10	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
VERMONT													
Jeffords	(I)	89	100	85	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Leahy	(D)	96	100	95	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES										
		% 109th Congress	% 2006	% 2005	% Lifetime	① Offshore Drilling—Cloture	② Offshore Drilling—Final Passage	③ Arctic Refuge Drilling	④ Energy & Weatherization Assistance	⑤ Environmental Funding	⑥ Independent Peer Review	⑦ Sham Peer Review
VIRGINIA												
Allen, G.	(R)	4	0	5	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Warner	(R)	19	14	20	21	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
WASHINGTON												
Cantwell	(D)	93	100	90	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Murray	(D)	93	86	95	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
WEST VIRGINIA												
Byrd	(D)	63	57	65	52	✗	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✗
Rockefeller	(D)	78	71	80	81	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WISCONSIN												
Feingold	(D)	93	100	90	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Kohl	(D)	74	71	75	81	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WYOMING												
Enzi	(R)	7	0	10	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Thomas, C.	(R)	11	0	15	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

2. SENATE SCORES



2006 HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS



1 & 2. OFFSHORE DRILLING

The perils associated with oil and gas development along the country's outer continental shelf prompted Congress in 1982 to create the first offshore oil and gas drilling moratorium. In 1991, President George H. W. Bush instituted a separate set of protections on new coastal drilling, which President Bill Clinton extended through 2012, protecting large and sensitive areas off the Atlantic, Pacific and eastern Gulf coasts.

H.R. 5386, the Interior-Environment Appropriations bill, included language that would have repealed the longstanding congressional moratorium and permitted drilling as close as three miles away from some of America's most pristine coastlines and vibrant coastal communities. Representatives Adam Putnam (R-FL), Lois Capps (D-CA), Jim Davis (D-FL) and Mark Foley (R-FL) offered an amendment to keep the moratorium in place. On May 18, 2006, House Amendment 856 was approved by a 217-203 vote (House roll call vote 170). YES is the pro-environment vote.

Representative Bobby Jindal (R-LA) later introduced H.R. 4761, the Deep Ocean Energy Resources Act, which would permit oil and gas drilling within 100 miles and give states the option to allow drilling as close as three to seven miles off their coasts. On June 29, 2006, the House approved the bill by a 232-187 vote (House roll call vote 356). NO is the pro-environment vote. At press time, the Jindal bill had not been reconciled with Senate legislation.



3. ARCTIC NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

The protection of Alaska's Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is among the highest priorities for the national environmental community. Nowhere else on our continent is the complete range of arctic and sub-arctic landscapes protected in one unbroken chain, and no other conservation area in the United States has such abundant and diverse wildlife, including rare musk oxen, polar bears, grizzlies, wolves, millions of migratory birds, and more than 120,000 caribou.

Although the Bush administration made drilling in the Arctic Refuge a cornerstone of its national energy strategy, that provision was ultimately removed from the final energy bill that was signed into law in 2005. This year, instead of promoting increases in energy efficiency or the use of clean, renewable energy sources, House leaders brought a stand-alone Arctic drilling bill to the floor. On May 25, the House approved the bill, H.R. 5429, by a 225-201 vote (House roll call vote 209). NO is the pro-environment vote. The bill was not taken up by the Senate. The Senate's 2007 budget resolution once again included a provision counting revenues from Arctic drilling as part of the budget, but pro-conservation House Republicans again stood with Democrats to ensure that Arctic drilling was not included in the House budget resolution. The two bills were never reconciled in conference, so the Arctic Refuge remains protected.

4. DRILLING ROYALTIES

Companies drilling for oil and natural gas on federally owned lands and in offshore waters must pay royalties to the government. These royalties fund such federal programs as the Land and Water Conservation Fund and the Historic Preservation Trust Fund while also benefiting oil-producing states and the federal treasury.

In 1995, to encourage domestic oil and gas production, Congress passed a law relieving companies drilling in the deep waters of the Gulf of Mexico from having to pay royalties. The law included a critical safety valve: When oil and natural gas prices rose above a certain amount, royalty relief would end. In 1998 and 1999, the Interior Department mistakenly awarded drilling leases that omitted this price threshold, providing unlimited royalty relief to the same oil companies that are now recording record profits.

During debate on the Interior-Environment Appropriations bill, Representative Maurice Hinchey (D-NY) offered an amendment preventing oil companies that benefit from this unlimited royalty relief from receiving future drilling leases. The amendment was meant to encourage companies to voluntarily renegotiate erroneous leases and accept limits on royalty relief. On May 18, 2006, the House voted 252-165 to approve the Hinchey amendment (House roll call vote 167). YES is the pro-environment vote. On June 29, the Senate Appropriations Committee approved a similar amendment offered by Senators Dianne Feinstein (D-CA) and Judd Gregg (R-NH). At press time, the full Senate had not yet taken up the bill.

5. OIL REFINERIES

Recent high gasoline prices have made a compelling case for reducing U.S. dependence on oil. However, instead of working to reduce that dependence, some members of Congress have blamed price spikes on public health and environmental laws, which they wrongly contend have caused a shortage of refinery capacity. In fact, tight capacity has been persuasively linked to oil refiners' own economic decisions.


Nevertheless, to accelerate the application process for building refineries, Representatives Charles Bass (R-NH) and Joe Barton (R-TX) introduced H.R.5254, the Refinery Permit Process Schedule Act. The bill, pushed through without committee consideration and with only minimal floor debate, could undermine the public health and environmental permitting decisions by state and federal officials and would give oil companies the right to sue public health officials in order to speed up refinery projects.

On June 7, 2006, the House approved H.R. 5254 by a 238-179 vote (House roll call vote 232). NO is the pro-environment vote. The Senate did not approve a companion bill.

6. LOW-INCOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE

Over the coming winter, residential customers are expected to pay 43 percent more for natural gas than they did the previous winter. This will be a particular blow for low-income families as they struggle to balance their energy needs with food, shelter and other basic concerns.

During consideration of S. Con. Res. 83, the Senate budget resolution, the Senate approved an amendment by Senator Olympia Snowe (R-ME) to restore \$1 billion in energy assistance that had been removed by Senate leadership. In addition to easing the burden of fuel costs, these funds will help low-income families insulate and weatherize their homes, making them less vulnerable in the future to high energy prices. On March 16, 2006, the House voted by a 287-128 vote to suspend the rules and pass the Snowe amendment (House roll call vote 66). YES is the pro-environment vote.





7. CLEAN WATER

For more than thirty years, the Clean Water Act has protected the nation's lakes, rivers, streams and wetlands from unregulated pollution, filling and destruction. However, since a Supreme Court ruling in 2001, polluters have argued that the law no longer applies to many small bodies of water around the country. In 2003, the Bush administration issued a directive requiring EPA staff to get permission from headquarters before protecting "isolated" water bodies like vernal pools, prairie potholes, playa lakes and bogs. In reality, these waters provide critical wildlife habitat, store flood water and protect drinking water supplies.

During House consideration of H.R. 5386, the Interior-Environment Appropriations bill, Representatives James Oberstar (D-MN), Jim Leach (R-IA) and John Dingell (D-MI) introduced an amendment that would force the EPA to rescind its directive and reaffirm a broad application of Clean Water Act protections. On May 18, 2006, House Amendment 854 was approved by a 222-198 vote (House roll call vote 169). YES is the pro-environment vote.



8. TOXICS RELEASE INVENTORY

By making toxic pollution information publicly available, the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) has become one of the premier tools for alerting U.S. citizens to chemical contamination in and around their communities. In 2005, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposed weakening this program by raising reporting thresholds tenfold, potentially allowing large industrial facilities to release 5,000 pounds of toxic pollution before reporting them. The EPA also proposed allowing companies to withhold information on dangerous chemicals like lead and mercury and to report their pollution releases every two years, instead of annually.

During debate of the Interior-Environment appropriations bill, Representatives Frank Pallone (D-NJ) and Hilda Solis (D-CA) introduced an amendment to prevent EPA from moving forward with these proposals. On May 18, 2006, House Amendment 844 was approved by a 231-187 vote (House roll call vote 165). YES is the pro-environment vote.



9. FOOD SAFETY

The processed food industry has been working for years to erase state standards for food safety and labeling, which are often more protective than federal standards. In particular, the industry has opposed Proposition 65, a voter-passed California law that requires manufacturers and vendors to warn consumers if food contains chemicals that cause cancer or birth defects.

Representative Mike Rogers (R-MI) introduced H.R. 4167, the National Uniformity for Food Act, which would strike any food safety standard, warning requirement or enforcement process that was not identical to federal standards (except in the area of mercury contamination). According to the non-partisan Congressional Budget Office, the legislation would overturn at least 200 state laws. Although states would be allowed to petition the Food and Drug Administration for a waiver, the FDA would retain final say.

On March 8, 2006, the House approved H.R. 4167 by a 283-139 vote (House roll call vote 32). NO is the pro-environment vote. The Senate has not approved companion legislation.

10. TONGASS LOGGING ROADS

At 17 million acres, the Tongass National Forest of southeast Alaska is the world's largest remaining old-growth temperate rainforest. Centuries-old trees provide critical habitat for wolves, grizzly bears, wild salmon, and bald eagles. Over the last 45 years, however, the timber industry has cleared more than one million acres of old-growth trees from the forest and carved out an estimated 5,000 miles of logging roads. Despite all this activity, the Forest Service has continually lost money on the Tongass logging program, forcing taxpayers to provide millions of dollars in subsidies. In 2005, for example, the Forest Service spent nearly \$48.5 million to subsidize logging operations in the Tongass and received a mere \$500,000 in payments.

Nevertheless, the Bush administration has worked to open more of the Tongass to logging. During consideration of H.R. 5386, the Interior-Environment Appropriations bill, Representatives Steve Chabot (R-OH) and Rob Andrews (D-NJ) introduced an amendment to end taxpayer subsidies for new commercial logging roads in the Tongass. On May 18, 2006, House Amendment 850 was approved by a 237-181 vote (House roll call vote 168). YES is the pro-environment vote. At press time, the Senate had yet to take action on an Interior-Environment Appropriations bill.

11 & 12. SALVAGE LOGGING

Scientists have found that logging forests after fires and weather events not only impedes forest recovery but could damage ecosystems and actually increase fire risk. Nevertheless, Representative Greg Walden (R-OR) introduced H.R. 4200, the deceptively titled Forest Emergency Recovery and Research Act, to expedite logging in national forests.

The bill denies protections to roadless areas, old-growth forests, critical wildlife habitat and other important areas. It also exempts logging projects from the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), which requires meaningful public involvement and the use of the best available science to determine appropriate actions. In addition, the bill waives the Clean Water Act, the National Historic Preservation Act and any other act that requires consultation prior to project implementation. Finally, H.R. 4200 creates incentives that could shift Forest Service efforts away from much-needed community protection and forest restoration toward destructive logging.

Representative Nick Rahall (D-WV) introduced an amendment to H.R. 4200 that would strike the bill's waivers of the National Environmental Policy Act and other environmental laws. On May 17, 2006, House Amendment 824 was rejected by a 189-236 vote (House roll call vote 147). YES is the pro-environment vote. That same day, the House approved H.R. 4200 by a 243-182 vote (House roll call vote 151). NO is the pro-environment vote. At press time, the Senate had not approved the bill.



HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

KEY ✓ = Pro-environment action ✗ = Anti-environment action ① = Ineligible to vote ? = Absence (counts as negative)			109th Congress	LCV SCORES			① Preventing Offshore Drilling	② Increasing Offshore Drilling	③ Arctic Refuge Drilling	④ Drilling Royalties	⑤ Oil Refineries	⑥ Energy & Weatherization Assistance	⑦ Clean Water	⑧ Toxics—Right to Know	⑨ Food Safety	⑩ Tongass Logging Roads	⑪ Salvage Logging—Env. Exemptions	⑫ Salvage Logging
				% 2006	% 2005	% Lifetime												
ALABAMA																		
1	Bonner	(R)	17	25	11	11	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
2	Everett	(R)	3	8	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Rogers, Michael D.	(R)	10	17	6	8	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Aderholt	(R)	0	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Cramer	(D)	30	33	28	36	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
6	Bachus, S.	(R)	3	8	0	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Davis, A.	(D)	53	50	56	57	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
ALASKA																		
AL	Young, D.	(R)	0	0	0	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
ARIZONA																		
1	Renzi	(R)	3	8	0	7	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Franks, T.	(R)	3	0	6	3	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	?	✗	✗
3	Shadegg	(R)	3	0	6	7	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	?	✗	✗
4	Pastor	(D)	93	92	94	79	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
5	Hayworth	(R)	3	0	6	7	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	?	✗	✗
6	Flake	(R)	13	8	17	11	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	?	✗	✗
7	Grijalva	(D)	97	100	94	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Kolbe	(R)	3	0	6	17	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	?	✗	✗
ARKANSAS																		
1	Berry	(D)	37	25	44	35	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Snyder	(D)	83	92	78	82	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Boozman	(R)	3	8	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Ross	(D)	40	25	50	41	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
CALIFORNIA																		
1	Thompson, M.	(D)	93	92	94	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
2	Herger	(R)	0	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Lungren	(R)	3	8	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
4	Doolittle	(R)	0	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Matsui, D.	(D)	97	100	94	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Woolsey	(D)	100	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES															
			% 109th Congress	% 2006	% 2005	% Lifetime	① Preventing Offshore Drilling	② Increasing Offshore Drilling	③ Arctic Refuge Drilling	④ Drilling Royalties	⑤ Oil Refineries	⑥ Energy & Weatherization Assistance	⑦ Clean Water	⑧ Toxics—Right to Know	⑨ Food Safety	⑩ Tongass Logging Roads	⑪ Salvage Logging—Env. Exemptions	⑫ Salvage Logging
7	Miller, George	(D)	100	100	100	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Pelosi	(D)	97	100	94	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Lee	(D)	93	100	89	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Tauscher	(D)	97	100	94	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	Pombo	(R)	10	17	6	7	?	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Lantos	(D)	93	92	94	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Stark	(D)	100	100	100	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Eshoo	(D)	97	100	94	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Honda	(D)	97	100	94	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	Lofgren	(D)	97	92	100	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
17	Farr	(D)	100	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Cardoza	(D)	60	58	61	64	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
19	Radanovich	(R)	3	0	6	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
20	Costa	(D)	53	42	61	53	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	?	✗	✓	✗
21	Nunes	(R)	0	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
22	Thomas, W.	(R)	0	0	0	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗
23	Capps	(D)	97	100	94	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
24	Gallegly	(R)	7	8	6	14	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
25	McKeon	(R)	7	8	6	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
26	Dreier	(R)	10	17	6	17	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
27	Sherman	(D)	100	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
28	Berman	(D)	97	100	94	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
29	Schiff	(D)	97	100	94	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
30	Waxman	(D)	100	100	100	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
31	Becerra	(D)	97	100	94	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
32	Solis	(D)	97	100	94	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
33	Watson	(D)	100	100	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
34	Roybal-Allard	(D)	93	100	89	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
35	Waters	(D)	97	100	94	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
36	Harman	(D)	87	100	78	79	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
37	Millender-McDonald	(D)	93	92	94	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

KEY ✓ = Pro-environment action ✗ = Anti-environment action ① = Ineligible to vote ? = Absence (counts as negative)			109th Congress	LCV SCORES				Preventing Offshore Drilling ①	Increasing Offshore Drilling ②	Arctic Refuge Drilling ③	Drilling Royalties ④	Oil Refineries ⑤	Energy & Weatherization Assistance ⑥	Clean Water ⑦	Toxics—Right to Know ⑧	Food Safety ⑨	Tongass Logging Roads ⑩	Salvage Logging—Env. Exemptions ⑪	Salvage Logging ⑫
				% 2006	% 2005	% Lifetime													
38	Napolitano	(D)	93	100	89	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
39	Sanchez, Linda	(D)	97	100	94	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
40	Royce	(R)	20	17	22	17	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
41	Lewis, Jerry	(R)	3	0	6	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
42	Miller, Gary	(R)	0	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
43	Baca	(D)	63	75	56	63	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
44	Calvert	(R)	10	8	11	9	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
45	Bono	(R)	17	25	11	11	✓	✓	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
46	Rohrabacher	(R)	17	17	17	16	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
47	Sanchez, Loretta	(D)	97	100	94	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
48	Campbell	(R)	23	25	0	23	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
49	Issa	(R)	3	0	6	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
50	Bilbray	(R)	0	0		62	①	✗	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①
51	Filner	(D)	90	92	89	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
52	Hunter	(R)	3	0	6	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
53	Davis, S.	(D)	97	92	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
COLORADO																			
1	DeGette	(D)	100	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Udall, M.	(D)	100	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Salazar, J.	(D)	67	58	72	67	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	?	✓	✗	✗
4	Musgrave	(R)	3	8	0	3	?	✗	✗	?	✗	✓	?	?	?	✗	?	✗	✗
5	Hefley	(R)	3	0	6	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Tancredo	(R)	10	8	11	11	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Beauprez	(R)	3	8	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
CONNECTICUT																			
1	Larson, J.	(D)	67	33	89	85	?	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
2	Simmons	(R)	70	83	61	67	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
3	DeLauro	(D)	100	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Shays	(R)	83	92	78	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Johnson, N.	(R)	67	83	56	61	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES															
			% 109th Congress	% 2006	% 2005	% Lifetime	① Preventing Offshore Drilling	② Increasing Offshore Drilling	③ Arctic Refuge Drilling	④ Drilling Royalties	⑤ Oil Refineries	⑥ Energy & Weatherization Assistance	⑦ Clean Water	⑧ Toxics—Right to Know	⑨ Food Safety	⑩ Tongass Logging Roads	⑪ Salvage Logging—Env. Exemptions	⑫ Salvage Logging
DELAWARE																		
AL	Castle	(R)	73	83	67	63	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
FLORIDA																		
1	Miller, J.	(R)	13	8	17	11	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Boyd	(D)	60	33	78	47	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Brown, C.	(D)	93	92	94	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
4	Crenshaw	(R)	10	8	11	7	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Brown-Waite	(R)	13	17	11	8	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Stearns	(R)	10	8	11	19	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Mica	(R)	0	0	0	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Keller	(R)	17	17	17	12	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Bilirakis	(R)	17	25	11	28	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	Young, B.	(R)	33	50	22	29	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
11	Davis, Jim	(D)	93	100	89	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Putnam	(R)	10	8	11	7	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
13	Harris	(R)	20	25	17	15	✓	✓	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
14	Mack	(R)	20	17	22	20	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
15	Weldon, D.	(R)	7	0	11	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
16	Foley	(R)	37	58	22	32	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
17	Meek, K.	(D)	90	92	89	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
18	Ros-Lehtinen	(R)	20	33	11	42	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
19	Wexler	(D)	100	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
20	Wasserman Schultz	(D)	97	100	94	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
21	Diaz-Balart, L.	(R)	13	17	11	27	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
22	Shaw	(R)	37	50	28	29	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
23	Hastings, A.	(D)	90	92	89	80	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
24	Feeney	(R)	13	8	17	10	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
25	Diaz-Balart, M.	(R)	13	17	11	10	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES															
			109th Congress	% 2006	% 2005	% Lifetime	① Preventing Offshore Drilling	② Increasing Offshore Drilling	③ Arctic Refuge Drilling	④ Drilling Royalties	⑤ Oil Refineries	⑥ Energy & Weatherization Assistance	⑦ Clean Water	⑧ Toxics—Right to Know	⑨ Food Safety	⑩ Tongass Logging Roads	⑪ Salvage Logging—Env. Exemptions	⑫ Salvage Logging
GEORGIA																		
1	Kingston	(R)	10	17	6	14	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Bishop, S.	(D)	37	25	44	40	?	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	?	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Marshall	(D)	53	42	61	56	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
4	McKinney	(D)	100	100	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Lewis, John	(D)	97	100	94	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Price, T.	(R)	3	8	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
7	Linder	(R)	7	0	11	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Westmoreland	(R)	0	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Norwood	(R)	3	0	6	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗
10	Deal	(R)	0	0	0	19	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Gingrey	(R)	3	0	6	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Barrow	(D)	67	50	78	67	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
13	Scott, D.	(D)	63	75	56	59	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
HAWAII																		
1	Abercrombie	(D)	70	75	67	83	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
2	Case	(D)	93	100	89	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
IDAHO																		
1	Otter	(R)	10	8	11	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Simpson	(R)	3	0	6	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
ILLINOIS																		
1	Rush	(D)	67	58	72	78	✗	?	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓
2	Jackson, J.	(D)	93	92	94	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
3	Lipinski	(D)	87	92	83	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
4	Gutierrez	(D)	87	100	78	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Emanuel	(D)	80	92	72	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
6	Hyde	(R)	3	8	0	19	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
7	Davis, D.	(D)	83	83	83	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
8	Bean	(D)	80	83	78	80	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
9	Schakowsky	(D)	93	100	89	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Kirk	(R)	53	75	39	61	✓	✓	✓	?	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			109th Congress	LCV SCORES				① Preventing Offshore Drilling	② Increasing Offshore Drilling	③ Arctic Refuge Drilling	④ Drilling Royalties	⑤ Oil Refineries	⑥ Energy & Weatherization Assistance	⑦ Clean Water	⑧ Toxics—Right to Know	⑨ Food Safety	⑩ Tongass Logging Roads	⑪ Salvage Logging—Env. Exemptions	⑫ Salvage Logging
				% 2006	% 2005	% Lifetime													
11	Weller	(R)	10	25	0	17	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Costello	(D)	73	75	72	63	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Biggert	(R)	27	33	22	27	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
14	Hastert	(R)		THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE VOTES AT HIS DISCRETION															
15	Johnson, Timothy	(R)	77	83	72	65	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	Manzullo	(R)	0	0	0	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
17	Evans	(D)	57	8	89	90	?	?	?	?	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
18	LaHood	(R)	23	25	22	25	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
19	Shimkus	(R)	0	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
INDIANA																			
1	Visclosky	(D)	80	92	72	71	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Chocola	(R)	3	0	6	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Souder	(R)	0	0	0	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Buyer	(R)	0	0	0	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Burton	(R)	0	0	0	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Pence	(R)	3	0	6	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Carson, J.	(D)	93	100	89	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Hostettler	(R)	7	0	11	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Sodrel	(R)	3	8	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
IOWA																			
1	Nussle	(R)	7	8	6	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Leach	(R)	50	42	56	61	?	✓	✓	?	✗	✓	?	?	✗	?	✓	✓	✓
3	Boswell	(D)	50	50	50	54	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Latham	(R)	3	0	6	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	King, S.	(R)	0	0	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
KANSAS																			
1	Moran, Jerry	(R)	3	8	0	9	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Ryun, J.	(R)	0	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Moore, D.	(D)	83	92	78	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Tiahrt	(R)	0	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

KEY			109th Congress	LCV SCORES				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
✓ = Pro-environment action	✗ = Anti-environment action	① = Ineligible to vote		? = Absence (counts as negative)	% 2006	% 2005	% Lifetime												
KENTUCKY																			
1	Whitfield	(R)	13	25	6	12	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
2	Lewis, R.	(R)	7	17	0	7	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Northup	(R)	0	0	0	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Davis, G.	(R)	7	8	6	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Rogers, H.	(R)	7	17	0	11	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Chandler	(D)	87	92	83	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
LOUISIANA																			
1	Jindal	(R)	7	8	6	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Jefferson	(D)	50	50	50	65	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗
3	Melancon	(D)	23	8	33	23	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	McCrery	(R)	0	0	0	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Alexander, R.	(R)	0	0	0	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Baker	(R)	0	0	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Boustany	(R)	3	0	6	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
MAINE																			
1	Allen, T.	(D)	97	100	94	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Michaud	(D)	90	75	100	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
MARYLAND																			
1	Gilchrest	(R)	57	67	50	63	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗
2	Ruppersberger	(D)	83	92	78	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
3	Cardin	(D)	97	100	94	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Wynn	(D)	77	92	67	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
5	Hoyer	(D)	90	100	83	75	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Bartlett	(R)	47	58	39	19	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
7	Cummings	(D)	90	100	83	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Van Hollen	(D)	100	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MASSACHUSETTS																			
1	Olver	(D)	97	100	94	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Neal	(D)	93	100	89	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	McGovern	(D)	100	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			109th Congress	LCV SCORES				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
				% 2006	% 2005	% Lifetime													
4	Frank, B.	(D)	97	100	94	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Meehan	(D)	97	100	94	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Tierney	(D)	100	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Markey	(D)	100	100	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Capuano	(D)	97	100	94	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Lynch	(D)	97	100	94	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Delahunt	(D)	83	92	78	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
MICHIGAN																			
1	Stupak	(D)	63	42	78	64	?	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	?	?	✓	?	?	?	?
2	Hoekstra	(R)	3	0	6	17	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Ehlers	(R)	60	75	50	57	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
4	Camp	(R)	7	8	6	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Kildee	(D)	93	100	89	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Upton	(R)	23	50	6	38	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Schwarz, J.	(R)	40	58	28	40	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
8	Rogers, Michael J.	(R)	7	8	6	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Knollenberg	(R)	3	0	6	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	Miller, C.	(R)	13	17	11	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
11	McCotter	(R)	17	17	17	10	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Levin, S.	(D)	93	100	89	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Kilpatrick	(D)	90	100	83	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Conyers	(D)	93	100	89	76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Dingell	(D)	93	100	89	71	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MINNESOTA																			
1	Gutknecht	(R)	17	0	28	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Kline	(R)	3	8	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Ramstad	(R)	70	83	61	65	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	McCollum	(D)	100	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Sabo	(D)	90	92	89	83	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Kennedy, M.	(R)	20	33	11	22	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES															
			% 109th Congress															
			% 2006	% 2005	% Lifetime	① Preventing Offshore Drilling	② Increasing Offshore Drilling	③ Arctic Refuge Drilling	④ Drilling Royalties	⑤ Oil Refineries	⑥ Energy & Weatherization Assistance	⑦ Clean Water	⑧ Toxics—Right to Know	⑨ Food Safety	⑩ Tongass Logging Roads	⑪ Salvage Logging—Env. Exemptions	⑫ Salvage Logging	
7	Peterson, C.	(D)	37	17	50	39	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
8	Oberstar	(D)	77	67	83	72	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	
MISSISSIPPI																		
1	Wicker	(R)	0	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
2	Thompson, B.	(D)	67	50	78	72	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	
3	Pickering	(R)	7	17	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	
4	Taylor, G.	(D)	50	42	56	39	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	
MISSOURI																		
1	Clay	(D)	87	92	83	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	
2	Akin	(R)	3	8	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	
3	Carnahan	(D)	93	100	89	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
4	Skelton	(D)	53	50	56	40	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	
5	Cleaver	(D)	83	75	89	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	?	
6	Graves	(R)	3	8	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
7	Blunt	(R)	3	0	6	3	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	
8	Emerson	(R)	10	8	11	5	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
9	Hulshof	(R)	3	8	0	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
MONTANA																		
AL	Rehberg	(R)	7	0	11	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
NEBRASKA																		
1	Fortenberry	(R)	10	25	0	10	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	
2	Terry	(R)	3	8	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	
3	Osborne	(R)	7	8	6	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
NEVADA																		
1	Berkley	(D)	100	100	100	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
2	Gibbons	(R)	0	0	0	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
3	Porter	(R)	3	8	0	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
NEW HAMPSHIRE																		
1	Bradley	(R)	60	83	44	51	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	
2	Bass	(R)	47	67	33	45	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES															
			% 109th Congress	% 2006	% 2005	% Lifetime	① Preventing Offshore Drilling	② Increasing Offshore Drilling	③ Arctic Refuge Drilling	④ Drilling Royalties	⑤ Oil Refineries	⑥ Energy & Weatherization Assistance	⑦ Clean Water	⑧ Toxics—Right to Know	⑨ Food Safety	⑩ Tongass Logging Roads	⑪ Salvage Logging—Env. Exemptions	⑫ Salvage Logging
NEW JERSEY																		
1	Andrews	(D)	90	100	83	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	LoBiondo	(R)	80	83	78	72	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
3	Saxton	(R)	77	83	72	61	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
4	Smith, C.	(R)	83	92	78	73	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Garrett	(R)	17	25	11	15	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗
6	Pallone	(D)	100	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Ferguson	(R)	43	83	17	45	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
8	Pascrell	(D)	93	100	89	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Rothman	(D)	97	100	94	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Payne	(D)	87	92	83	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
11	Frelinghuysen	(R)	47	67	33	54	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
12	Holt	(D)	100	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	VACANT	(D)																
NEW MEXICO																		
1	Wilson, H.	(R)	20	17	22	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Pearce	(R)	0	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Udall, T.	(D)	97	100	94	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NEW YORK																		
1	Bishop, T.	(D)	93	92	94	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Israel	(D)	93	92	94	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
3	King, P.	(R)	10	8	11	18	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	McCarthy	(D)	97	100	94	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Ackerman	(D)	93	92	94	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Meeks, G.	(D)	77	83	72	84	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
7	Crowley	(D)	97	92	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
8	Nadler	(D)	97	100	94	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Weiner	(D)	93	100	89	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Towns	(D)	60	83	44	75	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
11	Owens	(D)	93	100	89	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Velazquez	(D)	80	92	72	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

KEY ✓ = Pro-environment action ✗ = Anti-environment action ① = Ineligible to vote ? = Absence (counts as negative)			LCV SCORES															
			109th Congress															
			%	% 2006	% 2005	% Lifetime												
							① Preventing Offshore Drilling	② Increasing Offshore Drilling	③ Arctic Refuge Drilling	④ Drilling Royalties	⑤ Oil Refineries	⑥ Energy & Weatherization Assistance	⑦ Clean Water	⑧ Toxics—Right to Know	⑨ Food Safety	⑩ Tongass Logging Roads	⑪ Salvage Logging—Env. Exemptions	⑫ Salvage Logging
13	Fossella	(R)	13	17	11	22	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
14	Maloney	(D)	97	92	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
15	Rangel	(D)	97	100	94	80	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	Serrano	(D)	97	100	94	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
17	Engel	(D)	97	100	94	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Lowey	(D)	97	100	94	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
19	Kelly	(R)	47	92	17	68	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
20	Sweeney	(R)	27	42	17	24	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	?	✗	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
21	McNulty	(D)	97	100	94	80	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
22	Hinchey	(D)	93	100	89	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
23	McHugh	(R)	20	25	17	21	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
24	Boehlert	(R)	80	83	78	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
25	Walsh	(R)	37	58	22	39	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓
26	Reynolds	(R)	10	8	11	13	?	✗	✗	?	✗	✓	?	?	✗	?	✗	✗
27	Higgins	(D)	93	92	94	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
28	Slaughter	(D)	90	92	89	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
29	Kuhl	(R)	17	33	6	17	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
NORTH CAROLINA																		
1	Butterfield	(D)	83	75	89	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
2	Etheridge	(D)	83	75	89	75	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
3	Jones, W.	(R)	50	50	50	20	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
4	Price, D.	(D)	93	92	94	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
5	Foxx	(R)	10	8	11	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✓	✗	✗
6	Coble	(R)	7	8	6	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
7	McIntyre	(D)	67	67	67	53	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
8	Hayes	(R)	7	17	0	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Myrick	(R)	3	0	6	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
10	McHenry	(R)	7	0	11	7	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Taylor, C.	(R)	7	8	6	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Watt	(D)	93	92	94	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
13	Miller, B.	(D)	93	92	94	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES															
			% 109th Congress	% 2006	% 2005	% Lifetime	① Preventing Offshore Drilling	② Increasing Offshore Drilling	③ Arctic Refuge Drilling	④ Drilling Royalties	⑤ Oil Refineries	⑥ Energy & Weatherization Assistance	⑦ Clean Water	⑧ Toxics—Right to Know	⑨ Food Safety	⑩ Tongass Logging Roads	⑪ Salvage Logging—Env. Exemptions	⑫ Salvage Logging
NORTH DAKOTA																		
AL	Pomeroy	(D)	60	67	56	56	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
OHIO																		
1	Chabot	(R)	10	8	11	23	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
2	Schmidt	(R)	6	8	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Turner	(R)	7	8	6	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Oxley	(R)	0	0	0	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Gillmor	(R)	10	17	6	20	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
6	Strickland	(D)	77	75	78	77	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
7	Hobson	(R)	3	0	6	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Boehner	(R)	0	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Kaptur	(D)	93	100	89	75	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Kucinich	(D)	100	100	100	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	Tubbs Jones, S.	(D)	87	92	83	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
12	Tiberi	(R)	7	8	6	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
13	Brown, S.	(D)	100	100	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	LaTourette	(R)	20	33	11	24	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
15	Pryce, D.	(R)	13	25	6	16	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
16	Regula	(R)	3	8	0	30	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
17	Ryan, T.	(D)	90	92	89	77	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
18	Ney	(R)	13	17	11	12	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
OKLAHOMA																		
1	Sullivan	(R)	7	17	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	?	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Boren	(D)	17	0	28	17	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Lucas	(R)	0	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Cole	(R)	3	8	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Istook	(R)	0	0	0	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
OREGON																		
1	Wu	(D)	100	100	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Walden	(R)	13	17	11	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Blumenauer	(D)	97	92	100	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES															
			109th Congress															
			%	% 2006	% 2005	% Lifetime	① Preventing Offshore Drilling	② Increasing Offshore Drilling	③ Arctic Refuge Drilling	④ Drilling Royalties	⑤ Oil Refineries	⑥ Energy & Weatherization Assistance	⑦ Clean Water	⑧ Toxics—Right to Know	⑨ Food Safety	⑩ Tongass Logging Roads	⑪ Salvage Logging—Env. Exemptions	⑫ Salvage Logging
4	DeFazio	(D)	93	83	100	90	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
5	Hooley	(D)	97	92	100	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
PENNSYLVANIA																		
1	Brady, R.	(D)	73	75	72	71	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Fattah	(D)	93	100	89	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	English	(R)	13	25	6	26	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
4	Hart	(R)	10	25	0	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
5	Peterson, J.	(R)	0	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Gerlach	(R)	60	67	56	56	✗	?	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
7	Weldon, C.	(R)	43	67	28	47	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	?	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
8	Fitzpatrick	(R)	73	92	61	73	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Shuster	(R)	3	8	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	Sherwood	(R)	3	8	0	8	✗	?	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Kanjorski	(D)	67	67	67	69	✗	?	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
12	Murtha	(D)	57	58	56	43	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗
13	Schwartz, A.	(D)	97	100	94	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Doyle	(D)	67	75	61	58	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
15	Dent	(R)	7	17	0	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
16	Pitts	(R)	3	8	0	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
17	Holden	(D)	50	50	50	54	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
18	Murphy	(R)	7	17	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
19	Platts	(R)	37	42	33	28	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
RHODE ISLAND																		
1	Kennedy, P.	(D)	73	33	100	92	?	✓	?	?	✓	✓	?	?	✓	?	?	?
2	Langevin	(D)	100	100	100	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
SOUTH CAROLINA																		
1	Brown, H.	(R)	7	8	6	6	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Wilson, J.	(R)	0	0	0	6	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Barrett	(R)	7	8	6	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
4	Inglis	(R)	33	42	28	33	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

KEY			LCV SCORES																
			% 109th Congress																
				% 2006	% 2005	% Lifetime	① Preventing Offshore Drilling	② Increasing Offshore Drilling	③ Arctic Refuge Drilling	④ Drilling Royalties	⑤ Oil Refineries	⑥ Energy & Weatherization Assistance	⑦ Clean Water	⑧ Toxics—Right to Know	⑨ Food Safety	⑩ Tongass Logging Roads	⑪ Salvage Logging—Env. Exemptions	⑫ Salvage Logging	
5	Spratt	(D)	90	92	89	76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	
6	Clyburn	(D)	87	100	78	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
SOUTH DAKOTA																			
AL	Herseth	(D)	53	50	56	54	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	
TENNESSEE																			
1	Jenkins	(R)	10	17	6	6	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
2	Duncan	(R)	3	8	0	14	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
3	Wamp	(R)	7	0	11	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
4	Davis, L.	(D)	37	42	33	48	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	
5	Cooper	(D)	80	100	67	74	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
6	Gordon	(D)	67	75	61	63	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	
7	Blackburn	(R)	3	0	6	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
8	Tanner	(D)	47	42	50	39	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	
9	Ford	(D)	67	67	67	70	✗	?	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	
TEXAS																			
1	Gohmert	(R)	3	0	6	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
2	Poe	(R)	10	17	6	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	
3	Johnson, Sam	(R)	0	0	0	7	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
4	Hall, R.	(R)	0	0	0	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
5	Hensarling	(R)	7	8	6	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	
6	Barton	(R)	3	8	0	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	
7	Culberson	(R)	3	8	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
8	Brady, K.	(R)	3	8	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
9	Green, A.	(D)	60	67	56	60	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	
10	McCaul	(R)	7	17	0	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	
11	Conaway	(R)	0	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
12	Granger	(R)	0	0	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
13	Thornberry	(R)	0	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
14	Paul	(R)	37	25	44	30	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	
15	Hinojosa	(D)	37	42	33	58	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

KEY ✓ = Pro-environment action ✗ = Anti-environment action ① = Ineligible to vote ? = Absence (counts as negative)			LCV SCORES															
			109th Congress															
			%	% 2006	% 2005	% Lifetime												
							① Preventing Offshore Drilling	② Increasing Offshore Drilling	③ Arctic Refuge Drilling	④ Drilling Royalties	⑤ Oil Refineries	⑥ Energy & Weatherization Assistance	⑦ Clean Water	⑧ Toxics—Right to Know	⑨ Food Safety	⑩ Tongass Logging Roads	⑪ Salvage Logging—Env. Exemptions	⑫ Salvage Logging
16	Reyes	(D)	37	33	39	54	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗
17	Edwards	(D)	30	17	39	37	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
18	Jackson-Lee, S.	(D)	67	75	61	73	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
19	Neugebauer	(R)	0	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
20	Gonzalez	(D)	63	67	61	77	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
21	Smith, L.	(R)	7	17	0	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
22	DeLay	(R)	7	9	6	6	✗	①	?	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
23	Bonilla	(R)	0	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
24	Marchant	(R)	3	8	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
25	Doggett	(D)	97	100	94	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
26	Burgess	(R)	3	8	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
27	Ortiz	(D)	33	33	33	39	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
28	Cuellar	(D)	23	8	33	23	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
29	Green, G.	(D)	47	58	39	61	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
30	Johnson, E.B.	(D)	83	75	89	78	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
31	Carter	(R)	0	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
32	Sessions, P.	(R)	0	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
UTAH																		
1	Bishop, R.	(R)	0	0	0	2	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Matheson	(D)	57	58	56	60	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
3	Cannon	(R)	0	0	0	5	?	?	✗	?	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
VERMONT																		
AL	Sanders	(I)	97	100	94	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
VIRGINIA																		
1	Davis, Jo Ann	(R)	23	42	11	14	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
2	Drake	(R)	10	17	6	10	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Scott, R.	(D)	90	100	83	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Forbes	(R)	7	8	6	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Goode	(R)	17	0	28	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Goodlatte	(R)	0	0	0	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Cantor	(R)	7	8	6	2	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES															
			% 109th Congress	% 2006	% 2005	% Lifetime	① Preventing Offshore Drilling	② Increasing Offshore Drilling	③ Arctic Refuge Drilling	④ Drilling Royalties	⑤ Oil Refineries	⑥ Energy & Weatherization Assistance	⑦ Clean Water	⑧ Toxics—Right to Know	⑨ Food Safety	⑩ Tongass Logging Roads	⑪ Salvage Logging—Env. Exemptions	⑫ Salvage Logging
8	Moran, James	(D)	90	92	89	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
9	Boucher	(D)	60	67	56	66	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
10	Wolf	(R)	27	25	28	27	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗
11	Davis, T.	(R)	40	50	33	40	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
WASHINGTON																		
1	Inslee	(D)	100	100	100	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Larsen, R.	(D)	87	83	89	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓
3	Baird	(D)	90	83	94	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
4	Hastings, D.	(R)	0	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	McMorris	(R)	0	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Dicks	(D)	83	83	83	65	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
7	McDermott	(D)	97	100	94	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Reichert	(R)	43	67	28	43	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓
9	Smith, A.	(D)	100	100	100	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WEST VIRGINIA																		
1	Mollohan	(D)	57	58	56	40	✗	✗	?	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
2	Capito	(R)	20	33	11	28	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
3	Rahall	(D)	87	92	83	65	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WISCONSIN																		
1	Ryan, P.	(R)	13	17	11	27	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
2	Baldwin	(D)	100	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Kind	(D)	97	92	100	89	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Moore, G.	(D)	100	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Sensenbrenner	(R)	20	25	17	40	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
6	Petri	(R)	37	67	17	51	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓
7	Obey	(D)	100	100	100	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Green, M.	(R)	27	33	22	24	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
WYOMING																		
AL	Cubin	(R)	3	0	6	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗



MEMBERS OF THE SECOND SESSION OF THE 109TH CONGRESS

SENATE LCV SCORES FOR 2006

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Akaka, Daniel (D) HI	100	Domenici, Pete (R) NM	14	McCain, John (R) AZ	29
Alexander, Lamar (R) TN	29	Dorgan, Byron (D) ND	43	McConnell, Mitch (R) KY	0
Allard, Wayne (R) CO	29	Durbin, Richard (D) IL	100	Menedez, Robert (D) NJ	100
Allen, George (R) VA	0	Ensign, John (R) NV	43	Mikulski, Barbara (D) MD	100
Baucus, Max (D) MT	71	Enzi, Michael (R) WY	0	Murkowski, Lisa (R) AK	14
Bayh, Evan (D) IN	100	Feingold, Russell (D) WI	100	Murray, Patty (D) WA	86
Bennett, Robert (R) UT	14	Feinstein, Dianne (D) CA	100	Nelson, Benjamin (D) NE	43
Biden, Joseph (D) DE	100	Frist, Bill (R) TN	14	Nelson, Bill (D) FL	57
Bingaman, Jeff (D) NM	100	Graham, Lindsey (R) SC	29	Obama, Barack (D) IL	100
Bond, Christopher (R) MO	14	Grassley, Charles (R) IA	14	Pryor, Mark (D) AR	43
Boxer, Barbara (D) CA	100	Gregg, Judd (R) NH	43	Reed, Jack (D) RI	100
Brownback, Sam (R) KS	29	Hagel, Chuck (R) NE	14	Reid, Harry (D) NV	57
Bunning, Jim (R) KY	0	Harkin, Tom (D) IA	71	Roberts, Pat (R) KS	0
Burns, Conrad (R) MT	14	Hatch, Orrin (R) UT	14	Rockefeller, John (D) WV	71
Burr, Richard (R) NC	0	Hutchison, Kay Bailey (R) TX	0	Salazar, Ken (D) CO	71
Byrd, Robert (D) WV	57	Inhofe, James (R) OK	0	Santorum, Rick (R) PA	14
Cantwell, Maria (D) WA	100	Inouye, Daniel (D) HI	71	Sarbanes, Paul (D) MD	100
Carper, Thomas (D) DE	71	Isakson, Johnny (R) GA	0	Schumer, Charles (D) NY	71
Chafee, Lincoln (R) RI	71	Jeffords, James (I) VT	100	Sessions, Jeff (R) AL	0
Chambliss, Saxby (R) GA	0	Johnson, Tim (D) SD	71	Shelby, Richard (R) AL	0
Clinton, Hillary Rodham (D) NY	71	Kennedy, Edward (D) MA	100	Smith, Gordon (R) OR	14
Coburn, Tom (R) OK	29	Kerry, John (D) MA	71	Snowe, Olympia (R) ME	86
Cochran, Thad (R) MS	14	Kohl, Herbert (D) WI	71	Specter, Arlen (R) PA	14
Coleman, Norm (R) MN	29	Kyl, Jon (R) AZ	29	Stabenow, Debbie (D) MI	71
Collins, Susan (R) ME	71	Landrieu, Mary (D) LA	43	Stevens, Ted (R) AK	14
Conrad, Kent (D) ND	43	Lautenberg, Frank (D) NJ	86	Sununu, John (R) NH	43
Cornyn, John (R) TX	0	Leahy, Patrick (D) VT	100	Talent, Jim (R) MO	14
Craig, Larry (R) ID	0	Levin, Carl (D) MI	57	Thomas, Craig (R) WY	0
Crapo, Mike (R) ID	0	Lieberman, Joseph (D) CT	71	Thune, John (R) SD	14
Dayton, Mark (D) MN	86	Lincoln, Blanche (D) AR	43	Vitter, David (R) LA	0
DeMint, Jim (R) SC	14	Lott, Trent (R) MS	0	Voinovich, George (R) OH	43
DeWine, Mike (R) OH	71	Lugar, Richard (R) IN	14	Warner, John (R) VA	14
Dodd, Christopher (D) CT	100	Martinez, Mel (R) FL	0	Wyden, Ron (D) OR	100
Dole, Elizabeth (R) NC	0				

HOUSE LCV SCORES FOR 2006

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Abercrombie, Neil (D) HI-1	75	Baldwin, Tammy (D) WI-2	100	Berry, Marion (D) AR-1	25
Ackerman, Gary (D) NY-5	92	Barrett, J. Gresham (R) SC-3	8	Biggert, Judy (R) IL-13	33
Aderholt, Robert (R) AL-4	0	Barrow, John (D) GA-12	50	Bilbray, Brian (R) CA-50	0
Akin, Todd (R) MO-2	8	Bartlett, Roscoe (R) MD-6	58	Bilirakis, Michael (R) FL-9	25
Alexander, Rodney (R) LA-5	0	Barton, Joe (R) TX-6	8	Bishop, Rob (R) UT-1	0
Allen, Thomas (D) ME-1	100	Bass, Charles (R) NH-2	67	Bishop, Sanford (D) GA-2	25
Andrews, Robert (D) NJ-1	100	Bean, Melissa (D) IL-8	83	Bishop, Tim (D) NY-1	92
Baca, Joe (D) CA-43	75	Beauprez, Bob (R) CO-7	8	Blackburn, Marsha (R) TN-7	0
Bachus, Spencer (R) AL-6	8	Becerra, Xavier (D) CA-31	100	Blumenauer, Earl (D) OR-3	92
Baird, Brian (D) WA-3	83	Berkley, Shelley (D) NV-1	100	Blunt, Roy (R) MO-7	0
Baker, Richard (R) LA-6	0	Berman, Howard (D) CA-28	100	Boehlert, Sherwood (R) NY-24	83

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Boehner, John (R) OH-8	0	Cuellar, Henry (D) TX-28	8	Frelinghuysen, Rodney (R) NJ-11	67
Bonilla, Henry (R) TX-23	0	Culberson, John (R) TX-7	8	Gallegly, Elton (R) CA-24	8
Bonner, Jo (R) AL-1	25	Cummings, Elijah (D) MD-7	100	Garrett, Scott (R) NJ-5	25
Bono, Mary (R) CA-45	25	Davis, Artur (D) AL-7	50	Gerlach, Jim (R) PA-6	67
Boozman, John (R) AR-3	8	Davis, Danny (D) IL-7	83	Gibbons, Jim (R) NV-2	0
Boren, Dan (D) OK-2	0	Davis, Geoff (R) KY-4	8	Gilchrest, Wayne (R) MD-1	67
Boswell, Leonard (D) IA-3	50	Davis, Jim (D) FL-11	100	Gillmor, Paul (R) OH-5	17
Boucher, Rick (D) VA-9	67	Davis, Jo Ann (R) VA-1	42	Gingrey, Phil (R) GA-11	0
Boustany, Charles (R) LA-7	0	Davis, Lincoln (D) TN-4	42	Gohmert, Louie (R) TX-1	0
Boyd, Allen (D) FL-2	33	Davis, Susan (D) CA-53	92	Gonzalez, Charles (D) TX-20	67
Bradley, Jeb (R) NH-1	83	Davis, Tom (R) VA-11	50	Goode, Virgil (R) VA-5	0
Brady, Kevin (R) TX-8	8	Deal, Nathan (R) GA-10	0	Goodlatte, Bob (R) VA-6	0
Brady, Robert (D) PA-1	75	DeFazio, Peter (D) OR-4	83	Gordon, Bart (D) TN-6	75
Brown, Corrine (D) FL-3	92	DeGette, Diana (D) CO-1	100	Granger, Kay (R) TX-12	0
Brown, Henry (R) SC-1	8	Delahunt, William (D) MA-10	92	Graves, Sam (R) MO-6	8
Brown, Sherrod (D) OH-13	100	DeLauro, Rosa (D) CT-3	100	Green, Al (D) TX-9	67
Brown-Waite, Ginny (R) FL-5	17	DeLay, Tom (R) TX-22	9	Green, Gene (D) TX-29	58
Burgess, Michael (R) TX-26	8	Dent, Charles (R) PA-15	17	Green, Mark (R) WI-8	33
Burton, Dan (R) IN-5	0	Diaz-Balart, Lincoln (R) FL-21	17	Grijalva, Raul (D) AZ-7	100
Butterfield, G.K. (D) NC-1	75	Diaz-Balart, Mario (R) FL-25	17	Gutierrez, Luis (D) IL-4	100
Buyer, Steve (R) IN-4	0	Dicks, Norman (D) WA-6	83	Gutknecht, Gil (R) MN-1	0
Calvert, Ken (R) CA-44	8	Dingell, John (D) MI-15	100	Hall, Ralph (R) TX-4	0
Camp, Dave (R) MI-4	8	Doggett, Lloyd (D) TX-25	100	Harman, Jane (D) CA-36	100
Campbell, John (R) CA-48	25	Doolittle, John (R) CA-4	0	Harris, Katherine (R) FL-13	25
Cannon, Chris (R) UT-3	0	Doyle, Michael (D) PA-14	75	Hart, Melissa (R) PA-4	25
Cantor, Eric (R) VA-7	8	Drake, Thelma (R) VA-2	17	Hastert, Dennis (R) IL-1	
Capito, Shelley Moore (R) WV-2	33	Dreier, David (R) CA-26	17	Hastings, Alcee (D) FL-23	92
Capps, Lois (D) CA-23	100	Duncan, John (R) TN-2	8	Hastings, Doc (R) WA-4	0
Capuano, Michael (D) MA-8	100	Edwards, Chet (D) TX-17	17	Hayes, Robin (R) NC-8	17
Cardin, Benjamin (D) MD-3	100	Ehlers, Vernon (R) MI-3	75	Hayworth, J.D. (R) AZ-5	0
Cardoza, Dennis (D) CA-18	58	Emanuel, Rahm (D) IL-5	92	Hefley, Joel (R) CO-5	0
Carnahan, Russ (D) MO-3	100	Emerson, Jo Ann (R) MO-8	8	Hensarling, Jeb (R) TX-5	8
Carson, Julia (D) IN-7	100	Engel, Eliot (D) NY-17	100	Herger, Wally (R) CA-2	0
Carter, John (R) TX-31	0	English, Phil (R) PA-3	25	Herse, Stephanie (D) SD-AL	50
Case, Ed (D) HI-2	100	Eshoo, Anna (D) CA-14	100	Higgins, Brian (D) NY-27	92
Castle, Michael (R) DE-AL	83	Etheridge, Bob (D) NC-2	75	Hinchey, Maurice (D) NY-22	100
Chabot, Steve (R) OH-1	8	Evans, Lane (D) IL-17	8	Hinojosa, Ruben (D) TX-15	42
Chandler, Ben (D) KY-6	92	Everett, Terry (R) AL-2	8	Hobson, David (R) OH-7	0
Chocola, Chris (R) IN-2	0	Farr, Sam (D) CA-17	100	Hoekstra, Peter (R) MI-2	0
Clay, William Lacy (D) MO-1	92	Fattah, Chaka (D) PA-2	100	Holden, Tim (D) PA-17	50
Cleaver, Emanuel (D) MO-5	75	Feeney, Tom (R) FL-24	8	Holt, Rush (D) NJ-12	100
Clyburn, James (D) SC-6	100	Ferguson, Mike (R) NJ-7	83	Honda, Michael (D) CA-15	100
Coble, Howard (R) NC-6	8	Filner, Bob (D) CA-51	92	Hooley, Darlene (D) OR-5	92
Cole, Tom (R) OK-4	8	Fitzpatrick, Mike (R) PA-8	92	Hostettler, John (R) IN-8	0
Conaway, Mike (R) TX-11	0	Flake, Jeff (R) AZ-6	8	Hoyer, Steny (D) MD-5	100
Conyers, John (D) MI-14	100	Foley, Mark (R) FL-16	58	Hulshof, Kenny (R) MO-9	8
Cooper, Jim (D) TN-5	100	Forbes, Randy (R) VA-4	8	Hunter, Duncan (R) CA-52	0
Costa, Jim (D) CA-20	42	Ford, Harold (D) TN-9	67	Hyde, Henry (R) IL-6	8
Costello, Jerry (D) IL-12	75	Fortenberry, Jeff (R) NE-1	25	Inglis, Bob (R) SC-4	42
Cramer, Robert "Bud" (D) AL-5	33	Fossella, Vito (R) NY-13	17	Inslee, Jay (D) WA-1	100
Crenshaw, Ander (R) FL-4	8	Foxx, Virginia (R) NC-5	8	Israel, Steve (D) NY-2	92
Crowley, Joseph (D) NY-7	92	Frank, Barney (D) MA-4	100	Issa, Darrell (R) CA-49	0
Cubin, Barbara (R) WY-AL	0	Franks, Trent (R) AZ-2	0	Istook, Ernest (R) OK-5	0

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Jackson, Jesse (D) IL-2	92	Markey, Edward (D) MA-7	100	Otter, C.L. "Butch" (R) ID-1	8
Jackson-Lee, Sheila (D) TX-18	75	Marshall, Jim (D) GA-3	42	Owens, Major (D) NY-11	100
Jefferson, William (D) LA-2	50	Matheson, Jim (D) UT-2	58	Oxley, Michael (R) OH-4	0
Jenkins, William (R) TN-1	17	Matsui, Doris (D) CA-5	100	Pallone, Frank (D) NJ-6	100
Jindal, Bobby (R) LA-1	8	McCarthy, Carolyn (D) NY-4	100	Pascrell, Bill (D) NJ-8	100
Johnson, Eddie Bernice (D) TX-30	75	McCaul, Michael (R) TX-10	17	Pastor, Ed (D) AZ-4	92
Johnson, Nancy (R) CT-5	83	McCollum, Betty (D) MN-4	100	Paul, Ron (R) TX-14	25
Johnson, Sam (R) TX-3	0	McCotter, Thaddeus (R) MI-11	17	Payne, Donald (D) NJ-10	92
Johnson, Timothy V. (R) IL-15	83	McCrery, Jim (R) LA-4	0	Pearce, Steve (R) NM-2	0
Jones, Walter (R) NC-3	50	McDermott, Jim (D) WA-7	100	Pelosi, Nancy (D) CA-8	100
Kanjorski, Paul (D) PA-11	67	McGovern, James (D) MA-3	100	Pence, Mike (R) IN-6	0
Kaptur, Marcy (D) OH-9	100	McHenry, Patrick (R) NC-10	0	Peterson, Collin (D) MN-7	17
Keller, Ric (R) FL-8	17	McHugh, John (R) NY-23	25	Peterson, John (R) PA-5	0
Kelly, Sue (R) NY-19	92	McIntyre, Mike (D) NC-7	67	Petri, Thomas (R) WI-6	67
Kennedy, Mark (R) MN-6	33	McKeon, Howard "Buck" (R) CA-25	8	Pickering, Charles "Chip" (R) MS-3	17
Kennedy, Patrick (D) RI-1	33	McKinney, Cynthia (D) GA-4	100	Pitts, Joseph (R) PA-16	8
Kildee, Dale (D) MI-5	100	McMorris, Cathy (R) WA-5	0	Platts, Todd (R) PA-19	42
Kilpatrick, Carolyn (D) MI-13	100	McNulty, Michael (D) NY-21	100	Poe, Ted (R) TX-2	17
Kind, Ron (D) WI-3	92	Meehan, Marty (D) MA-5	100	Pombo, Richard (R) CA-11	17
King, Peter (R) NY-3	8	Meek, Kendrick (D) FL-17	92	Pomeroy, Earl (D) ND-AL	67
King, Steve (R) IA-5	0	Meeks, Gregory (D) NY-6	83	Porter, Jon (R) NV-3	8
Kingston, Jack (R) GA-1	17	Melançon, Charlie (D) LA-3	8	Price, David (D) NC-4	92
Kirk, Mark (R) IL-10	75	Mica, John (R) FL-7	0	Price, Tom (R) GA-6	8
Kline, John (R) MN-2	8	Michaud, Michael (D) ME-2	75	Pryce, Deborah (R) OH-15	25
Knollenberg, Joe (R) MI-9	0	Millender-McDonald, Juanita (D) CA-37	92	Putnam, Adam (R) FL-12	8
Kolbe, Jim (R) AZ-8	0	Miller, Brad (D) NC-13	92	Radanovich, George (R) CA-19	0
Kucinich, Dennis (D) OH-10	100	Miller, Candice (R) MI-10	17	Rahall, Nick (D) WV-3	92
Kuhl, Randy (R) NY-29	33	Miller, Gary (R) CA-42	0	Ramstad, Jim (R) MN-3	83
LaHood, Ray (R) IL-18	25	Miller, George (D) CA-7	100	Rangel, Charles (D) NY-15	100
Langevin, James (D) RI-2	100	Miller, Jeff (R) FL-1	8	Regula, Ralph (R) OH-16	8
Lantos, Tom (D) CA-12	92	Mollohan, Alan (D) WV-1	58	Rehberg, Dennis (R) MT-AL	0
Larsen, Rick (D) WA-2	83	Moore, Dennis (D) KS-3	92	Reichert, Dave (R) WA-8	67
Larson, John (D) CT-1	33	Moore, Gwen (D) WI-4	100	Renzi, Rick (R) AZ-1	8
Latham, Tom (R) IA-4	0	Moran, James (D) VA-8	92	Reyes, Silvestre (D) TX-16	33
LaTourette, Steven (R) OH-14	33	Moran, Jerry (R) KS-1	8	Reynolds, Thomas (R) NY-26	8
Leach, Jim (R) IA-2	42	Murphy, Timothy (R) PA-18	17	Rogers, Harold (R) KY-5	17
Lee, Barbara (D) CA-9	100	Murtha, John (D) PA-12	58	Rogers, Michael D. (R) AL-3	17
Levin, Sander (D) MI-12	100	Musgrave, Marilyn (R) CO-4	8	Rogers, Michael J. (R) MI-8	8
Lewis, Jerry (R) CA-41	0	Myrick, Sue (R) NC-9	0	Rohrabacher, Dana (R) CA-46	17
Lewis, John (D) GA-5	100	Nadler, Jerrold (D) NY-8	100	Ros-Lehtinen, Ileana (R) FL-18	33
Lewis, Ron (R) KY-2	17	Napolitano, Grace (D) CA-38	100	Ross, Mike (D) AR-4	25
Linder, John (R) GA-7	0	Neal, Richard (D) MA-2	100	Rothman, Steven (D) NJ-9	100
Lipinski, Dan (D) IL-3	92	Neugebauer, Randy (R) TX-19	0	Roybal-Allard, Lucille (D) CA-34	100
LoBiondo, Frank (R) NJ-2	83	Ney, Bob (R) OH-18	17	Royce, Ed (R) CA-40	17
Lofgren, Zoe (D) CA-16	92	Northup, Anne (R) KY-3	0	Ruppersberger, C.A. "Dutch" (D) MD-2	92
Lowey, Nita (D) NY-18	100	Norwood, Charles (R) GA-9	0	Rush, Bobby (D) IL-1	58
Lucas, Frank (R) OK-3	0	Nunes, Devin (R) CA-21	0	Ryan, Paul (R) WI-1	17
Lungren, Dan (R) CA-3	8	Nussle, Jim (R) IA-1	8	Ryan, Tim (D) OH-17	92
Lynch, Stephen (D) MA-9	100	Oberstar, James (D) MN-8	67	Ryun, Jim (R) KS-2	0
Mack, Connie (R) FL-14	17	Obey, David (D) WI-7	100	Sabo, Martin (D) MN-5	92
Maloney, Carolyn (D) NY-14	92	Olver, John (D) MA-1	100	Salazar, John (D) CO-3	58
Manzullo, Donald (R) IL-16	0	Ortiz, Solomon (D) TX-27	33	Sánchez, Linda (D) CA-39	100
Marchant, Kenny (R) TX-24	8	Osborne, Thomas (R) NE-3	8	Sanchez, Loretta (D) CA-47	100

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Sanders, Bernard (I) VT-AL	100	Solis, Hilda (D) CA-32	100	Van Hollen, Chris (D) MD-8	100
Saxton, Jim (R) NJ-3	83	Souder, Mark (R) IN-3	0	Velazquez, Nydia (D) NY-12	92
Schakowsky, Janice (D) IL-9	100	Spratt, John (D) SC-5	92	Visclosky, Peter (D) IN-1	92
Schiff, Adam (D) CA-29	100	Stark, Fortney "Pete" (D) CA-13	100	Walden, Greg (R) OR-2	17
Schmidt, Jean (R) OH-2	8	Stearns, Cliff (R) FL-6	8	Walsh, James (R) NY-25	58
Schwartz, Allyson (D) PA-13	100	Strickland, Ted (D) OH-6	75	Wamp, Zach (R) TN-3	0
Schwarz, Joe (R) MI-7	58	Stupak, Bart (D) MI-1	42	Wasserman, Schultz Debbie (D) FL-20	100
Scott, Bobby (D) VA-3	100	Sullivan, John (R) OK-1	17	Waters, Maxine (D) CA-35	100
Scott, David (D) GA-13	75	Sweeney, John (R) NY-20	42	Watson, Diane (D) CA-33	100
Sensenbrenner, F. James (R) WI-5	25	Tancred, Thomas (R) CO-6	8	Watt, Melvin (D) NC-12	92
Serrano, José (D) NY-16	100	Tanner, John (D) TN-8	42	Waxman, Henry (D) CA-30	100
Sessions, Pete (R) TX-32	0	Tauscher, Ellen (D) CA-10	100	Weiner, Anthony (D) NY-9	100
Shadegg, John (R) AZ-3	0	Taylor, Charles (R) NC-11	8	Weldon, Curt (R) PA-7	67
Shaw, E. Clay (R) FL-22	50	Taylor, Gene (D) MS-4	42	Weldon, Dave (R) FL-15	0
Shays, Christopher (R) CT-4	92	Terry, Lee (R) NE-2	8	Weller, Jerry (R) IL-11	25
Sherman, Brad (D) CA-27	100	Thomas, William (R) CA-22	0	Westmoreland, Lynn (R) GA-8	0
Sherwood, Don (R) PA-10	8	Thompson, Bennie (D) MS-2	50	Wexler, Robert (D) FL-19	100
Shimkus, John (R) IL-19	0	Thompson, Mike (D) CA-1	92	Whitfield, Ed (R) KY-1	25
Shuster, Bill (R) PA-9	8	Thornberry, William "Mac" (R) TX-13	0	Wicker, Roger (R) MS-1	0
Simmons, Robert (R) CT-2	83	Tiahrt, Todd (R) KS-4	0	Wilson, Heather (R) NM-1	17
Simpson, Mike (R) ID-2	0	Tiberi, Patrick (R) OH-12	8	Wilson, Joe (R) SC-2	0
Skelton, Ike (D) MO-4	50	Tierney, John (D) MA-6	100	Wolf, Frank (R) VA-10	25
Slaughter, Louise McIntosh (D) NY-28	92	Towns, Edolphus (D) NY-10	83	Woolsey, Lynn (D) CA-6	100
Smith, Adam (D) WA-9	100	Tubbs Jones, Stephanie (D) OH-11	92	Wu, David (D) OR-1	100
Smith, Christopher (R) NJ-4	92	Turner, Michael (R) OH-3	8	Wynn, Albert (D) MD-4	92
Smith, Lamar (R) TX-21	17	Udall, Mark (D) CO-2	100	Young, C.W. "Bill" (R) FL-10	50
Snyder, Vic (D) AR-2	92	Udall, Tom (D) NM-3	100	Young, Don (R) AK-AL	0
Sodrel, Mike (R) IN-9	8	Upton, Fred (R) MI-6	50		

Help Others "Know the Score" on the Environment

Save a stamp: Join or renew online at www.lcv.org

YES! I want to help LCV continue its vitally important work
to ensure sound environmental policies for the future.

☐ I am renewing my membership. ☐ I am joining as a new member.

☐ I am making an additional contribution. ☐ \$35 ☐ \$50 ☐ \$100 ☐ Other \$ _____

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Sign me up for the latest on LCV's work, environmental politics and what
I can do to speak up for the environment.

My email address is _____

Contributions to LCV are not tax-deductible. Contributions may be used for political purposes such as supporting or opposing candidates. LCV cannot accept donations from businesses, foundations or unions. Please make your personal check payable to LCV and return it with this form to: LCV, 1920 L Street, NW, Suite 800, Washington, DC, 20036. Phone (202) 785-8683; Fax (202) 835-0491. E-mail: lcw@lcv.org. Web site: www.lcv.org.

COVER PHOTOS

Front Cover

Zero energy habitat home; NREL's 2005 Habitat for Humanity house, photo: Pete Beverly, DOE/NREL

Toyota dual-mode hybrid vehicle, photo: Warren Gretz, DOE/NREL



**LEAGUE OF
CONSERVATION VOTERS**

1920 L Street, NW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036
Phone: 202.785.8683
www.lcv.org



NON-PROFIT
US POSTAGE
PAID
WASHINGTON DC
PERMIT # 3070